



UNITED STATUS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: **COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**

3712

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. G **RUS013USQ** 09/776,659 02/05/01 BATTERSBY **EXAMINER** QM32/0925 JAMES G. COPLIT PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT GRIMES & BATTERSBY** THREE LANDMARK SQUARE, SUITE 405

P.O. BOX 1311 STAMFORD CT 06904-1311

DATE MAILED: 09/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/776,659

Applicant(s)

Battersby et al.

Examiner

John Ricci

Art Unit 3712

-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with the d	corresponde	ence address		
A SHO THE M - Exten aft - If the be - If NO coi - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory promunication. If to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by one of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In no ation. , a reply within the period will apply an	e event, how e statutory mind and will expire	ever, may a inimum of th SIX (6) MON to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 5, 20	001			·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims				11		
	Claim(s) <u>31-44</u>						
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)						
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are	e allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 31-41, 43			is/are	e rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>42, 44</u>						
8) 🗆	- and in a contribution and for election requirement						
Applica 9) 10) 11) 12)	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is:			disapproved.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Su	emmary (PTO-41	3) Paper No(s).			
	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) 🔲 li	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:					

Art Unit: 3712

In the amendment of Feb. 5, 2001, all claims were canceled, and claims 39-52 were added. However, since there were 30 claims in the file, the numbering of the new claims has been changed to 31-44 to follow the previously highest numbered claim.

* * * * * *

Claims 31-42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-32 of U. S. Patent No. 6,182,649 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The ball throwing machine including means for moving a power head, dynamic braking means, and a smart card reader.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

Art Unit: 3712

harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

* * * * * *

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 31-35, 39, & 41 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sanders et al
6,026,798.

Art Unit: 3712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders et al.

Sanders discloses a method for pitching a ball, which uses a ball throwing machine including a power head with wheels, a linear actuator for moving the power head, and a programmable controller for controlling the speed of the wheels and movement of the linear actuator, for adjusting the speed, spin, and location of the pitched ball. However, Sanders does not disclose that the pitch is patterned after the pitch thrown by an actual pitcher. However, one would recognize that it would be desirable to use the machine of Sanders to replicate the pitches of an actual pitcher, for more realistic practice. It would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to observe the characteristics of an actual pitch, place these characteristics in a database, and determine the wheel speed and actuator settings necessary to replicate this pitch and program these values into the machine of Sanders.

Art Unit: 3712

* * * * * *

Claims 36-38 & 40 are not rejected over the prior art.

Claims 42 & 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art does not disclose that the machine may be provided with dynamic braking means for the wheels, or a smart card for programming.

* * * * *

This letter was prepared by Examiner John Ricci, who can be reached at:

Voice: 703-308-4751

Fax: Use 703-872-9302 (872-9303 for After-Final papers) for papers to be delivered directly to the mail room, like formal amendments and responses, change of address, power of attorney, petitions.

Art Unit: 3712

Use 703-783-0439 for papers to be delivered directly to the Examiner, like informal or proposed responses for discussion, or notes in preparation for an interview.

Response by Fax is encouraged to reduce mail processing time. Please don't send duplicate papers by mail and Fax.

My supervisor is Jacob Ackun, 703-308-3867.

PTO main switchboard: 800-786-9199.

Visit our Web site at www.uspto.gov.

John Phuni

JOHN RICCI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3712

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections <u>within the time period set in the attached Office communication</u> See 37 CTR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application